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## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=150 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.005 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.055$
$w R$ factor $=0.144$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=16.8$
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## trans-Bis(ethylenediamine)bis(sulfadiazinato)copper(II)

The structure of the title compound, trans- $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2}$ ], consists of neutral molecules. The $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ion occupies an inversion centre and exhibits an elongated distorted octahedral geometry, with two monodentate sulfadiazinate (sdz) anions and two bidentate ethylenediamine ligands. Both sdz ligands are N -coordinated via an N atom of the sulfonamide group. The crystal structure is stabilized by hydrogen bonds and weak van der Waals interactions.

## Comment

In the structure of the title compound, (I), $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{sdz})_{2}(\mathrm{en})_{2}\right]$, the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion occupies an inversion centre and is octahedrally coordinated by two en and two sdz ligands, forming a $\mathrm{CuN}_{6}$ coordination environment. The en molecules act as bidentate ligands, forming two five-membered chelate rings with a trans arrangement. The structure has a Jahn-Teller-distorted octahedral geometry around the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ atom with four N atoms of the two chelating ethylenediamine molecules and two sulfonamide N atoms from sulfadiazine molecules completing the coordination of the elongated octahedral structure.


The two $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{en}}$ bond distances are almost equivalent, but significantly shorter than the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{sdz}}$ bond distances, resulting in the formation of a distorted octahedral geometry elongated along the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{sdz}}$ bonds. Thus, the en N atoms form the equatorial plane of the coordination octahedron, while the sulfonamide N atoms of sdz occupy the axial positions.

The $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ bond distances of 2.672 (2) $\AA$ are elongated as a result of the Jahn-Teller effect. The bond lengths within the sulfadiazine and ethylenediamine are as expected. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ distances of 2.005 (3) and 2.013 (3) $\AA$, involving the
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The molecular structure, showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry code as in Table 1. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds.


Figure 2
The molecular packing of (I) viewed along the $b$ axis. Dashed lines indicate the hydrogen-bonding interactions.
ethylenediamine molecules, are comparable to the corresponding values 1.997 (3) and 2.001 (3) A (Lokaj et al., 1991), 2.033 (3) and 2.042 (3) A (Anacona et al., 2002), 1.996 (2) and 2.022 (3) A (Kovbasyuk et al., 1997), 2.007 (3)-2.024 (3) A (Kovbasyuk et al., 1997), 2.016 (2) and 2.019 (2) A (Fun et al., 2002), and 2.007 (3) and 2.010 (3) A (Kazak et al., 2004). The S1-O bond distances of 1.458 (3) and 1.449 (3) $\AA$ are longer than the corresponding bonds in the pure sulfadiazine with values of 1.429 (2) and 1.437 (2) $\AA$.

The crystal structure of the complex exhibits numerous hydrogen bonds (Table 2). The amino H atoms form intramolecular hydrogen bonds with the sulfonyl O atoms, as illustrated in Fig. 1. The amine H atoms of the en ligands and terminal amino H are also involved in intermolecular hydrogen bonding with the sulfonyl O atoms of neighbouring sdz ligands (Fig. 2).

## Experimental

The sodium salt of sulfadiazine (Nasdz) ( $0.545 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 50 ml of hot methanol and a methanol solution $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ of $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.171 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly with constant stirring on a hot-plate at 333 K ; a red precipitate was formed and the mixture was stirred for 6 h . The precipitate was filtered off and dried over silica gel. The precipitate was dissolved in a 1:10 mixture of ethylenediamine/water $(10 \mathrm{ml})$, stirred for 30 minutes. The solution was then filtered and left for crystallization; a week later, blue block
crystals were obtained, which were filtered off and dried over silica gel.

Crystal data
$\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2}\right]$

$$
Z=2
$$

$M_{r}=682.29$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$D_{x}=1.569 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$a=10.8610$ (5) Å
$\mu=0.96 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$b=10.6329$ (4) $\AA$
$T=150$ (2) K
$c=12.5227$ (6) $\AA$
Block, blue
$\beta=93.302$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1443.77(11) \AA^{3}$
$0.15 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (Blessing, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.870, T_{\text {max }}=0.910$
9385 measured reflections 3290 independent reflections 2428 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.089$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0579 P)^{2}\right. \\
& \quad+1.6363 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.77 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.50 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.672(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $2.005(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $2.013(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 180 | $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $89.68(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 5^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 5$ | 180 | $\mathrm{~N} 5 \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $95.10(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 6^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 6$ | 180 | $\mathrm{~N} 5-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $84.90(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $94.80(10)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 5$ | $85.20(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 6$ | $90.32(10)$ |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$.

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | 0.92 | 2.29 | $3.068(4)$ | 142 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{H}}-\mathrm{H} 6 A \cdots \mathrm{~N} 3^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.92 | 2.25 | $3.021(4)$ | 141 |
| $\mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H} 5 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.92 | 2.15 | $2.958(4)$ | 146 |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$.
H atoms were placed in calculated positions $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95$ and $0.99 \AA ; \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.88$ and $0.92 \AA$, respectively, for H atoms on amino N4 (sulfadiazine), and N5 and N6 (ethylenediamine) atoms) and refined using a riding model. H atoms were given isotropic displacement parameters equal to 1.2 times $U_{\text {eq }}$ of their parent atoms.

Data collection: COLLECT (Hooft, 1998); cell refinement: SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); data reduction: SCALEPACK and DENZO (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997); soft-
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ware used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

The authors acknowledge the School of Chemistry, Cardiff University.
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